Cyst Fluid Carcinoembryonic Antigen Level Is not Predictive of Invasive Cancer in Patients with Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm of the Pancreas

Stephen Kucera¹, Barbara A Centeno², Gregory Springett², Mokenge P Malafa², Yian Ann Chen², Jill Weber², Jason Klapman²

¹University of Cincinnati. Cincinnati, OH, USA. ²Moffitt Cancer Center. Tampa, FL, USA

ABSTRACT

Context Cyst fluid CEA concentration >192ng/mL has proven accurate to differentiate mucinous from non-mucinous pancreatic cystic neoplasms. It is unclear whether the degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation is predictive of malignant behavior in IPMNs. Objectives To determine whether elevated cyst fluid CEA concentrations were predictive of invasive cancer. Design Cross sectional study. Setting Single National Cancer Institute comprehensive cancer care center experience. Patients Forty-seven patients underwent preoperative EUS-FNA with cyst fluid analysis and surgical resection of an IPMN over a 9 year period. Main outcome measurements Cyst fluid CEA concentrations among the four grades associated with IPMN (low grade dysplasia, moderate dysplasia, high grade dysplasia, and invasive cancer). Results The mean±standard deviation cyst fluid CEA concentration increased as the pathology progressed from low grade dysplasia (1,261±1,679 ng/mL) to moderate dysplasia (7,171±22,210 ng/mL) to high grade dysplasia (10,807±36,203 ng/mL). However, the mean CEA level decreased (462±631 ng/mL) once invasive cancer developed (P=0.869). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of a cyst fluid CEA concentration greater than 200 ng/mL for the diagnosis of malignant IPMN (cases of high grade dysplasia and invasive IPMN) was 52.4%, 42.3%, 42.3%, 52.4% and 46.8%, respectively. Limitations Single center experience, small patient numbers, retrospective data collection. Conclusion The degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation is a poor predictor of malignant degeneration within IPMNs. Clinical management decisions regarding surgical resection should not be based upon degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of pancreatic cystic lesions has been estimated to range from 2.4 to 24% by imaging (computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging) and autopsy studies [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) with fine needle aspiration (FNA) and cyst fluid analysis is an effective method of classifying pancreatic cystic lesions [6, 7, 8, 9, 10], as non-invasive imaging alone can be unreliable with reported accuracies varying from 20 to90% [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Separating pancreatic cystic lesions with malignant potential from those typically associated with a benign clinical course is an important clinical distinction with respect to management as curative surgery can be offered in many instances. Decisions regarding surgical management of mucinous lesions are based on the projected risk of malignancy and/or the presence of symptoms relatable to the cyst. In fit patients, symptomatic cysts are resected. In asymptomatic patients, operative management is reserved for lesions at high risk for malignant transformation, such as mucinous cystic neoplasms, main duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs), and rapidly enlarging side branch IPMNs or side branch IPMNs larger than 3 cm [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Analysis of cyst fluid obtained by EUS-FNA is critical when attempting to distinguish mucinous from non-mucinous pancreatic cystic lesions. The largest prospective series involved 341 patients who underwent EUS-FNA of PCLs with subsequent cyst fluid analysis [6]. In addition to cytologic analysis of the fluid, various cyst fluid tumor markers were analyzed. In this series, a cyst fluid tumor marker, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), proved most accurate for distinguishing mucinous from non-
mucinous pancreatic cystic lesions. EUS allowed for accurate characterization by morphology alone in only 51% of cases; the addition of FNA and cyst fluid cytology increased the accuracy to 59%; however, when the cyst fluid CEA concentration exceeded 192 ng/mL, the accuracy increased to 79% for distinguishing mucinous from non-mucinous pancreatic cystic lesions [6]. This test characteristic remains the most widely used clinical marker for characterization of pancreatic cystic lesions today. An inexpensive, easily obtained, and widely applicable biomarker which could predict malignant potential or lack thereof would be of significant clinical utility. It has been suggested that the degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation can differentiate benign from malignant IPMNs [21]. Maire et al. [21] identified cyst fluid CEA levels exceeding 200 ng/mL to have a negative predictive value equal to 96% for the diagnosis of malignant IPMN. They suggested this value serve as a threshold for conservative management of branch type IPMNs in the absence of traditional factors predictive of malignancy.

Our clinical experience as well as that of others [22] suggests that the degree of CEA elevation may not be predictive of IPMN malignant degeneration. Using a cyst fluid CEA concentration of greater than 200 ng/mL to predict malignant IPMN, would have misclassified 9 of 17 malignant IPMNs in the Correa-Gallego [22] series. In fact, the median cyst fluid CEA level of malignant IPMNs in this series was 154 ng/mL [22]. This series highlights the dangers of clinical decision making based on cyst fluid CEA concentrations alone.

The aim of our study was to determine whether elevated cyst fluid CEA levels are predictive of invasive cancer in a cohort of patients with surgically resected intraductal papillary mucinous cystic neoplasms.

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

A cross sectional study was conducted exclusively at the Moffitt Cancer Center, which is a National Cancer Institute designated comprehensive cancer center.

Patients

Patients were identified through review of an institutional surgical database, inclusive of all patients undergoing pancreatic surgery at the Moffitt Cancer Center between January 1st, 2000 and January 1st, 2010. All patients with a final pathologic diagnosis of IPMN (main duct, mixed type, or side branch) who also underwent pre-operative EUS-FNA with cyst fluid analysis at our institution were included in the study. A total of 47 patients were identified meeting inclusion criteria.

Surgical Resection

Indications for surgical resection in fit patients included: symptomatic cysts, main duct IPMN, side branch IPMN measuring greater than or equal to 30 mm, rapidly enlarging side-branch IPMN, the presence of mural nodule or thickened cyst wall, and/or cytology identifying high grade dysplasia or adenocarcinoma regardless of cyst size. Surgical pathology reports were reviewed to determine the final pathologic diagnosis. All surgical specimens were reviewed by the same expert cytopathologist (B.A.C.) and categorized based on the degree of dysplasia or cancer.

Endoscopic Ultrasound and Cyst Fluid Analysis Technique

Review of the electronic medical record was performed to identify EUS-FNA procedural details and to identify corresponding cyst fluid analysis and cyst fluid CEA concentrations. Endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle aspiration was performed by one of three experienced endosonographers after informed consent was obtained.

Pre-surgical EUS-FNA was performed for all asymptomatic, indeterminate cystic lesions which measured at least 1 cm in size by cross-sectional imaging. For cases in which the results of pre-surgical EUS-FNA were not felt to alter clinical management (symptomatic cystic lesions or cystic lesions recommended for surgical resection at weekly multi-disciplinary tumor board meetings), operative resection was performed without pre-surgical EUS-FNA.

All procedures were performed on an outpatient basis with either endoscopist administered conscious sedation (midazolam and fentanyl) or anesthesiologist administered deep sedation (propofol) based on the year in which the procedure was performed. Anesthesiologist administered sedation was uniformly administered in our endoscopy suite after December 2006.

The linear echoendoscopes (GFUC30-P and GF UC140-P, Olympus America, Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA) were used in conjunction with a portable ultrasound console (Prosound Alpha SSD 5000, Aloka, Wallingford, CT, USA) for image acquisition. As has been described previously, a conventional EUS-FNA technique was practiced [23, 24, 25]. Intravenous antibiotics (3 g ampicillin/sulbactam or 400 mg ciprofloxacin) were administered prior to cyst puncture in all cases. Single cyst puncture via trans-gastric or trans-duodenal FNA was performed with either a 19 or 22 gauge needle based on the location and size of the cystic lesion.

An on-site cytopathologist was present for immediate on-site cytological adequacy analysis of cyst fluid aspirate. The cytopathologist would use a drop of fluid to prepare two smears: one air-dried and the other alcohol fixed. The air-dried smear was stained with Diff-Quik and assessed on-site for adequacy. The remaining fluid was then taken to the cytopathology laboratory where aliquots of the fluid were submitted for CEA and amylase analysis and the remainder was submitted for routine cytopathological processing. The practice was abandoned as intra-procedural and/or
direct clinical management decisions were not affected by the cytotechnologists’ adequacy interpretation. Patients were observed after EUS-FNA and discharged home within 1 hour of procedure completion when no immediate complications were apparent; all patients received oral antibiotics (ampicillin/sulbactam or ciprofloxacin) for 3-5 days for post-procedure for prevention of cyst infection. During the study period, no patient required hospital admission for complications related to EUS-FNA.

Once received in the cytopathology laboratory at Moffitt Cancer Center, the fluid was spun down using a Shandon Cytospin (Thermo Scientific, Florence, KY, USA). An aliquot of the supernatant was removed and submitted for CEA and amylase testing. The CEA fluid was performed at ARUP Laboratories (Salt Lake City, UT, USA) and the amylase testing was performed at the Moffitt Cancer Center. The sediment was used to prepare two cytopsins stained with standard Papanicolaou stains and a cell block stained with H&E.

ETHICS
The study was approved by the Moffitt Cancer Center Scientific Review Committee and the University of South Florida Biomedical Institutional Review Board.

STATISTICS
Statistical analysis was performed in consultation with or by the Moffitt Cancer Center Biostatistics Department. The sampling distribution of CEA levels was right-skewed, like most biomarkers. After log_{10} transformation, the sampling distribution was approximately normal within each stage (P=0.500, P=0.278, P=0.033, P=0.037 in low grade dysplasia, moderate dysplasia, high grade dysplasia, and invasive cancer, respectively; Lilliefors test). One-way ANOVA was performed to examine if there is any differences in log_{10}(CEA) levels among various pathologic stages. Differences were considered statistically significant at two-tailed P value less than 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using MATLAB (version 7.13.0.564, 2011; The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

RESULTS
Patients
A total of 87 patients underwent surgical resection of pancreatic IPMN during the 10-year study interval. Forty cases were excluded as a pre-operative EUS-FNA was not performed. The study cohort consisted of the remaining 47 patients (54.0%); 32 (68.1%) men and 15 (31.9%) women with a mean age of 66 years and range 24-88 years.

Surgical Pathology
Based on surgical pathology, the final diagnosis in 11 (23.4%) patients was main duct IPMN, side branch IPMN in 24 (51.1%) patients, and mixed type IPMN in 12 (25.5%) patients. Of the side branch IPMNs, 11 (45.8%) were located in the head of the pancreas, 8 (33.3%) in the pancreatic body, and 5 (20.8%) in the tail of the pancreas. The mean and standard deviation size of side branch IPMNs was 2.4±1.4 cm. The surgically resected side branch IPMNs ranged in size from 0.7 to 5 cm.

Final surgical pathology was reviewed to define the degree of dysplasia associated with the IPMNs. Nine out of 47 (19.1%) of IPMNs contained low grade dysplasia, 17 (36.2%) contained moderate dysplasia histology, 14 (29.8%) contained high grade dysplasia, and 7 (14.9%) of resected IPMNs contained invasive cancer. Of the 7 cases of IPMN harboring invasive cancer, 3 (42.9%) were side branch IPMNs, 2 (28.6%) were main duct IPMN, and 2 (28.6%) were mixed type IPMN. The 3 side branch IPMNs with invasive cancer measured 3.0, 3.5, and 4.5 cm. Of the 14 cases of IPMN with high grade dysplasia, 2 (14.3%) were side branch IPMNs, 5 (35.7%) were main duct IPMNs, and 7 (50.0%) were mixed type IPMNs. The 2 side branch IPMNs with high grade dysplasia measured 1.2 and 2.0 cm in size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical pathologic diagnosis</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low grade dysplasia (n=9)</td>
<td>1,261±1,679</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>38-4,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate dysplasia (n=17)</td>
<td>7,171±22,210</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>9-90,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High grade dysplasia (n=14)</td>
<td>10,807±36,203</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>47-136,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive carcinoma (n=7)</td>
<td>462±631</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>140-1,866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.869 among the 4 diagnoses

Figure 1: Box-plot comparing pre-operative CEA levels (log_{10}(ng/mL)) with surgical pathology. The median values are depicted as the center lines within each box. The interquartile range is the difference between the bottom and top whiskers (width of each box). Whiskers extend to minimum and maximum (excluding outliers). Outliers are listed above and below the boxes. LG: low grade dysplasia; MD: moderate dysplasia; HG: high grade.
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The pre-operative mean and standard deviation, median, and range of CEA levels are summarized in Table 1. The variability is very large within each stage. The mean levels of CEA increased as pathology progressed from low grade dysplasia (1,261±1,679 ng/mL) to moderate dysplasia (7,171±22,210 ng/mL) to high grade dysplasia (10,807±36,203 ng/mL). However, once invasive cancer developed, the mean CEA level decreased to 462±631 ng/mL (Table 1). There was no significant difference in CEA levels between pathologic diagnoses (P=0.869) (Figure 1).

The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of a cyst fluid CEA concentration of greater than 200 ng/mL for the diagnosis of malignant IPMN (cases of high grade dysplasia and invasive cancer) was 52.4% (11/21), 42.3% (11/26), 42.3% (11/26), and 52.4% (11/21), respectively. The overall accuracy of a cyst fluid CEA concentration greater than 200 ng/mL for the diagnosis of malignant IPMN was 46.8% (22/47).

DISCUSSION

Endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle aspiration and subsequent pancreatic cyst fluid analysis is a valuable method for classification of pancreatic cystic lesions [6, 19, 20]. Cyst fluid CEA concentrations exceeding 192 ng/mL have proven accurate for the distinction of mucinous from non-mucinous cystic neoplasms [6]. However, it is unclear whether the degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation is predictive of malignant behavior [21, 22] and if clinical decision making should be affected by the degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation. Through a cross sectional study performed at the Moffitt Cancer Center, we sought to further investigate whether cyst fluid CEA concentrations were predictive of histologic progression to invasive cancer in IPMNs. Pre-operative cyst fluid CEA levels obtained via EUS-FNA were compared with final surgical pathology in a cohort of 47 patients. As expected, the mean cyst fluid CEA concentrations increased as histologic grades progressed from low grade dysplasia (1,261 ng/mL) to moderate dysplasia (7,171 ng/mL), to high grade dysplasia (10,807 ng/mL). However, the mean cyst fluid CEA concentration decreased markedly (462 ng/mL) once invasive cancer developed.

The underlying mechanisms involved in cyst fluid CEA decline are uncertain. CEA is a member of a supergene family including 29 genes of which 18 are expressed and 11 are pseudo-genes. The tumor marker CEA is also known as CEA-related cell adhesion molecule-5 (CEACAM5). CEACAMs are molecules that mediate homophilic and heterophilic cell-cell interactions in epithelial cells and regulate cell adhesion, migration and apoptosis [26]. CEA/CEACAM5 is normally located at the membrane luminal surface of non-malignant polarized pancreatic duct epithelial cells. In malignant glands, the loss of tight junctions is associated with the loss of a polarized distribution of CEA with resulting diffuse staining throughout the cell [27]. CEACAM5 is retained in the membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor. Release into the lumen can be triggered by cleavage of the GPI-anchor by GPI-linked phospholipase-D (GPI-PLD) [28]. Therefore, a possible explanation for the fall in cyst fluid CEA level with progression to invasive adenocarcinoma may be that fewer cells with intact tight-junctions are present and therefore there is less CEA at the luminal surface available for release into the cyst fluid.

Cyst fluid CEA levels were not predictive of malignant IPMN in our series. In the diagnosis of malignant IPMN, a cyst fluid CEA concentration of greater than 200 ng/mL (threshold value previously established by Maire et al. in 2008 [21]) had a sensitivity of 52.4%, specificity of 42.3%, positive predictive value of 42.3%, negative predictive value of 52.4%, and an overall accuracy of 46.8%. These test characteristics more similarly resemble those reported by Correa-Gallego et al. in 2009 [22] as compared to the Maire series [21], which reported a sensitivity of 90% and a negative predictive value of 96% for the diagnosis of malignant IPMN when the cyst fluid CEA concentration exceeded 200 ng/mL.

Taken together, our data and that of Correa-Gallego et al. [22] suggest the degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation is a poor predictor of malignant degeneration within IPMNs. Therefore, clinical management decisions should not be based upon cyst fluid CEA levels. Using CEA levels alone, 40% of patients with malignant IPMNs in our institution and 29% of patients with malignant IPMN in the Correa-Gallego series [22] would not have been offered surgical resection.

Despite limitations associated with retrospective data collection, our study represents a large, single center experience focused exclusively on surgically resected IPMNs with all cases reviewed by an expert cytopathologist. Our data suggests that elevated pancreatic cyst fluid CEA levels are not predictive of invasive cancer; therefore, the clinical utility of cyst fluid CEA levels remains limited to only defining whether a cyst is mucinous versus non-mucinous and not whether surgical resection should be performed based on the degree of cyst fluid CEA elevation.

One important, unanswered question remains: “Why do cyst fluid CEA levels decline when IPMNs progress from dysplasia to invasive cancer?” Further research is warranted focused on pathophysiological mechanisms of cyst fluid CEA concentration changes as IPMNs progress from low grade dysplasia to invasive cancer. The answers may lead to the development of predictive biomarkers which may ultimately enhance our diagnostic ability and improve patient outcomes.
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