Two Faces of Cathepsin D: Physiological Guardian Angel and Pathological Demon
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Abstract

Since its discovery as a lysosomal hydrolase, Cathepsin D (CatD) has been the subject of intensive scrutiny by numerous scientists. Those accumulated efforts have defined its biosynthetic pathway, structure, and companion proteins in the context of its perceived “house keeping” function. However, in the past two decades CatD has emerged as a multifunctional enzyme, involved in myriad biological processes beyond its original “housekeeping” role. CatD is responsible for selective and limited cleavage (quite distinct from non-specific protein degradation) of particular substrates vital to proper cellular function. These proteolytic events are critical in the control of biological processes, including cell cycle progression, differentiation and migration, morphogenesis and tissue remodeling, immunological processes, ovulation, fertilization, neuronal outgrowth, angiogenesis, and apoptosis. Consistent with the biological relevance of CatD, its deficiency, altered regulation or post-translational modification underlie important pathological conditions such as cancer, atherosclerosis, neurological and skin disorders. Specifically, deregulated synthesis, post-translational modifications and hyper-secretion of CatD, along with its mitogenic effects, are established hallmarks of cancer. More importantly, but less studied, is its significance in regulating the sensitivity to anticancer drugs.

This review outlines CatD’s post-translational modifications, cellular trafficking, secretion and protein binding partners in normal mammary gland, and restates the “site-specific” function of CatD which is most probably dictated by its post-translational modifications and binding partners. Noteworthy, CatD’s association with one of its binding partners in the context of drug sensitivity is highlighted, with the optimism that it could contribute to the development of more effective chemotherapeutic agent(s) tailored for individual patients.
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Historical Overview

The term “Cathepsin”, was first introduced in 1929, and described the proteolytic activity of acidified tissue extracts towards hemoglobin [1]. Subsequently, this proteolytic activity proved to contain multiple forms of Cathepsin, termed A, B and C [2]. Cathepsin(s) were purified from spleen in 1940, and their lysosomal association was established in 1955 [3,4]. By late 1959, the fourth member of the family was identified as “Cathepsin D” (CatD) [5]. Ensuing studies characterized its glycoprotein nature, conversion to two chains mature enzyme, and revealed its homology with other acid proteases [6].

The discovery of CatD’s elevated levels in muscular dystrophy and arthritis underscored its pathological significance [7,8]. It’s possible involvement in breast cancer was first noted in rat model of breast carcinoma induced by 3-methylcholanthrene (or 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene). In this model, tumors undergo repeated growth and regression following successive pregnancies [9]. Changes in Cathepsin (with no reference to Cathepsin subtypes) were noted in regressing mammary tumors [10], however, the significance of these findings was overlooked, and it was not until the 1980s that Cat D’s association with human breast cancer was established [11,12].

In 1995, CatD’s involvement in apoptotic cell death emphasized its functional significance in embryonic development [13], and the generation of CatD knockout mice further established its vital role in proper organ development after birth [14].

CatD Gene Transcription and Regulation

The 5’ upstream region of CatD promoter contains several GC boxes and a TATAA sequence [15]. This mixed promoter directs two types of transcription: TATA-independent transcription starting at several sites upstream from the TATA box (directed by GC boxes and Sp1 factor), and TATA-dependent transcription initiating about 28 bp downstream from the TATA box (Figure 1A).

The former confers house keeping gene properties to CatD, while the latter is a feature of regulated genes and could be induced under specific physiological conditions (i.e. during development and tissue remodeling). Transcription from different start sites leads to mRNA variants of different sizes which might affect stability, initiation of translation and/or subcellular localization of the protein product [15]. In this context, TATA-dependent transcription of CatD is greatly induced by estrogen and heavily exploited in breast cancer [15,16], the response to estrogen is tissue (or cell) specific, as endometrial derived Ishiwaka cancer cell line is non-responsive to estrogen [17].
residues for binding to Man-6P receptor(s) (Man-6PR)[20]. The complex is transported to the lysosomal compartment, the acidic environment dissociates the complex, the receptor recycles back, and pro-CatD is processed to the 48 kDa active single chain, and finally to the mature two chain (34 and 14 kDa, respectively) enzyme [21-23].

The more contemporary CatD research has uncovered functions beyond and above its original housekeeping role. In this context, CatD also functions in the cytosol and the extracellular environment. The cytosolic traverse of CatD occurs via limited release from the lysosome and is a key signaling event initiating the apoptotic cascade [24-26]. The apoptotic process can occur via multiple pathways depending on the cell type and the apoptotic stimuli, leading to limited cleavage of effector molecules (i.e. Bid, caspases, Bax). The mechanism of this translocation is not fully defined; a sphingosine-based lipid ceramide is believed to be the mediator [27]. What regulates the limited release of CatD from the lysosomal membrane, and the signaling mechanism(s) remains to be fully elucidated.

Under normal conditions, a minor fraction of pro-CatD is secreted and is detected in biological fluids [28,29]. In the mammary glands, CatD is secreted mostly at the apical surface, and this apical release varies considerably at different stages of development, with maximal secretion noted at early lactation [30]. However, basal release of single chain active CatD is also noted in lactating gland and is prompted by prolactin (PRL) [31]. The 23 kDa plasma-borne PRL binds prolactin receptors (PRLR) on the basolateral membrane of the mammary epithelial cells, promotes the basal transport of CatD-containing vesicles (which lack endocytic markers), and release of mature active CatD. This basolaterally secreted CatD (also catalytically active at pH greater than that of the lysosome), cleaves the 23 kDa PRL, generating 16 kDa fragment [32]. Notably, in the lactating mammary gland, the basal release of CatD has to be tightly regulated to circumvent the adverse catalytic effect(s) of the enzyme on the basement membrane proteins and the extracellular matrix (ECM). Indeed the expression of ECM-degrading proteases are repressed at lactation [33], but dramatically induced at involution to remodel the gland [34,35]. The bilateral release of CatD signifies distinct signaling mechanisms which are yet to be elucidated. More importantly, what distinguishes CatD for basolateral versus apical release remains unclear. The extent of phosphorylation of N-linked oligosaccharides, or the composition of the glycan structures on CatD (i.e. high mannose versus complex or hybrid), and the receptor chaperoning the enzyme, are believed to play a role, and will be discussed later in the text. It is noteworthy that modulators of lysosomal pH (i.e. chloroquine, or inhibitors of vacuolar ATPase) alter targeting and processing of CatD and increase its secretion [36,37].

In breast cancer, CatD is overexpressed, it’s processing and cellular location(s) are altered, and its secretion is highly elevated [11,12,38,39]. Consequently, it has become a marker of poor prognosis correlating with the prevalence of clinical metastasis [40]. However, direct involvement of this protease in the invasive and metastatic potential of breast cancer has not been demonstrated. The clue(s) to transformation of CatD from a “physiological guardian angle” to a “pathological demon” must lie in the signals which regulate its proper functions in development and under normal conditions. Alas, the complexity of CatD gene regulation, transcription, post-translational modifications, location(s) and specifically binding partners, render this a colossal task.

**Figure 1:** (A) Schematic presentation of CatD promoter region. The TATA and GC sequences are represented by square boxes, five transcription start sites are indicated by arrows and their distance from the +1 nucleotide are indicated. (B) Pictorial presentation of proteolytic processing of pre-pro-CatD. CatD is synthesized in the ER as a pre-pro enzyme containing a signal peptide at its amino terminus. As the enzyme traverses the ER, it loses its signal peptide and is glycosylated at two N-glycosylation sites. The pro-enzyme is transported to the Golgi, tagged with Man-6-P for binding to Man-6-PR. The complex is transported across the Golgi and reaches the endosomal compartment. The acidic environment causes the release of the receptor and the pro-peptide is cleaved generating the single chain active enzyme. Further removal of seven amino acids generates the light chain and the heavy chain mature enzyme (please see the text). (C) Developmental regulation of CatD level and proteolytic processing in mouse mammary tissue. Cytosolic extracts prepared from the mammary gland at different stages of development were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis for the presence of CatD cleavage products. V: virgin, P: pregnant, LD: lactation days 1, 3 and 7, IND: involution days 1-15. Molecular mass of CatD proteolytic products are indicated on the right.

Adding to the complexity, growth factors (insulin, insulin-like growth factor I, TGF-α, basic fibroblast growth factor and epidermal growth factor) induce CatD mRNA [17,18]. However, these effects are mostly mediated via GC-rich sites and imperfect estrogen response element in CatD promoter [17]. EGF rapidly induces CatD mRNA by 2- to 4-fold, and its effect is dependent upon de novo protein synthesis [19]. The mixed promoter, tissue-specific responses of CatD mRNA to hormones, the direct and indirect responses to growth factors are all indicative of a very complex regulatory mechanism(s) governing CatD’s gene transcription [19].

**CatD Synthesis, Intercellular Localization and Secretion**

The early era of CatD research provided fundamental insight into its synthesis, posttranslational modifications, and transport route to its lysosomal destination. CatD is synthesized as a single chain pre-proenzyme (412 amino acids), is glycosylated at two N-linked glycosylation sites (Asn residues 134 and 263 [pre-pro-CatD numbering, UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot]), and is transported to the Golgi. The N-glycan structures acquire mannose-6-phosphate (Man-6P)
Functional Significance of CatD Post-translational Modifications

To date, the most studied post-translational modifications of CatD include proteolytic cleavages, glycosylation, phosphorylation, and nitration.

Proteolytic Cleavages:

Conversion of the pre-pro-CatD to the active two-chain enzyme is a non-reversible process encompassing consecutive and highly regulated proteolytic steps [41,42]. Initially, the pre-peptide (20 amino acids), and the pro-peptide (44 amino acids) are sequentially removed to form the 48 kDa single-chain molecule [21,43]. Next, seven amino acids of the single chain’s NH2-terminus are removed by unidentified endo- and amino-peptidases, followed by removal of the sequence Ser-Ala-Ser-Ala-Ser-Ala-Leu (position 97-105). This modified single chain enzyme is cleaved by cysteine endopeptidase(s) to two chains, which undergo further processing to yield the active light and heavy chain CatD (Figure 1B). The significance of these precise proteolytic cleavages has eluded scientists, and with the increasing list of CatD functions, specifically during development and in embryonic stages, it is tempting to speculate that “functional specificity” tightly regulates the generation of CatD cleavage products. In this context, our laboratory has reported the differential processing of CatD during mammary gland development and remodeling (Figure 1C) [30]. These studies were first to demonstrate the plasticity of mammary tissue with respect to CatD production, proteolytic cleavage and activity. Notably, quiescent, non-lactating mammary epithelial cells have low constitutive levels of CatD in the pro-, single chain and two chain active enzyme format. At lactation, CatD’s cleavage profile remains comparable to non-lactating gland, while a considerable level of pro-CatD is apically released into the lumen. The level and apical release of pro-CatD diminishes considerably as lactation progresses. At the onset of involution, CatD is tyrosine nitrated [43], its processing is halted at the single chain active enzyme form [30] (Figure 1C). The generation of the mature two-chain active enzyme is resumed after 48h and peaks at days 3–4 of involution. In depth analysis of signals directing these proteolytic processes could unravel the specific regulatory checkpoints that have gone awry in cancer. Comparison of CatD production and processing profiles of normal mammary epithelial cells and breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB231 indicates dramatic increase in the production, differences in the apparent molecular mass and level of the single chain form in the latter two cell lines (Figure 2A, Khalkhali-Ellis, unpublished observations). Specifically, pro-CatD secretion in cancer cell lines is ~30-40 folds higher than that of normal mammary epithelial cells, which further confirms the reported studies of delayed processing, accumulation of the 52 and 48 kDa forms, and secretion of over 50% of the pro-CatD in majority of cancer cell lines [12, 44].

Glycosylation

CatD is glycosylated on two asparagine residues 134 and 263 in the rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER). These glycan chains are later modified by phosphorylation of their mannose residues in the Golgi compartment. This post-translational modification of CatD is most extensively studied and elegantly described in several review articles [45], and will not be addressed here. However, glycosylation of CatD has no effect on protein folding and enzymatic activity, but it plays a significant role in the proper targeting to the lysosomal compartment, and most probably other sites of CatD function [36,41]. Disparate glycosylation of CatD was first demonstrated in MCF-7 breast cancer cells [12], and further confirmed in other breast cancer cell lines [46]. Studies from our laboratory also revealed the majority of breast cancer cell lines harbor differential glycosylation patterns (specifically in the secreted pro-CatD), with prevalence of endoglycosidase H (EndoH) sensitive N-glycan structures (Figure 2 B and 2C, Khalkhali-Ellis, Figure 2: CatD cleavage products and N-glycan structures in normal mammary epithelial cells (HMEpCs) compared to breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB231. Cytosolic fractions (25 μg total protein), and conditioned media (CM) from HMEpCs and breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and MDA-MB231 were treated with or without endoglycosidase H (Endo-H, to remove the chitobiose core of high mannose and some hybrid oligosaccharides), and peptide-N-glycosidase F (PNGase, to remove high mannose, hybrid and complex glycans), then subjected to SDS-PAGE (10% acrylamide gel) and Western blot analysis. CM from the three cell lines was concentrated prior to treatment (HMEpC: 35x, MCF-7 and MDA-MB231: 2x). Differences in the abundance of the processed forms (A), and the N-glycan moieties of CatD (B&C), between normal mammary epithelial cells and breast cancer cell lines are evident in these Western blots. Note the preferential presence of multiple high mannose N-glycan structures (indicated by the appearance of multiple bands following Endo-H treatment) of the CM from the cancer cell lines.
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unpublished observations) [47]. Indeed, the N-glycan structures in the tumor and serum of breast cancer patients (and other types of cancer) are distinctly different from that of normal tissue [47,48], and probably results from altered expression of glycosyl transferases in cancer patients [49]. The effect of glycan alterations on lysosomal targeting and secretion of CatD remains to be investigated.

Nitration

Nitration of tyrosine residues is a common post-translational modification of proteins, and depending on the nature of the protein and the position of the tyrosine residues could lead to both loss or gain of protein activity [50-52]. CatD has a highly conserved nitration motif spanning residues 165-173. In rat mammary gland, the onset of involution prompts nitration of CatD on tyrosine residue 168, and the process could be a signaling pathway in mammary gland involution [43]. Interestingly, tyrosine nitration of CatD at involution increases CatD activity [43].

CatD Protein Binding Partners

The complex nature of CatD traffic (“inside→out”, or “outside→in”), its partitioning into different subcellular compartments, and bilateral secretion are indicative of different functions and command the presence of site-specific binding partners. To date, only a handful of CatD binding proteins have been identified, and are discussed here.

Man-6PR

The cation-independent Man-6PR has been the first and the best studied binding partner for CatD [53]. This receptor is concentrated in the cis Golgi, where it binds the newly translated CatD for lysosomal targeting [54]. A small fraction (~3–10%) of Man-6P-containing ligands [53]. In addition, Man-6PR binds other ligands such as insulin-like growth factor II and retinoic acid, in a Man-6P-independent manner, and both ligands regulate Man-6P-mediated trafficking of CatD [55,56]. In polarized Caco-2 human intestinal epithelial cell line, Man-6PR distributes CatD to apical and basolateral areas [57]. The basolateral sorting of Man-6PR depends on the recognition of a sequence located in its cytoplasmic region and distinct from that of endosomal trafficking motifs [57]. A similar bilateral distribution of pro-CatD is noted in lactating mammary gland [37], however, the signaling mechanism, and the involvement of Man-6PR have not been determined. Importantly, increased lysosomal pH results in selective increase in CatD’s basolateral secretion [57], and defective acidification of lysosomes in breast cancer cell lines contributes to increased CatD secretion [58].

Prosaposin

This highly conserved, heavily glycosylated protein (Pro-Sap) is the precursor of sphingolipid activator proteins, saposins A, B, C and D [59]. In the ER, Pro-Sap binds pro-CatD, and the complex is transported to the Golgi and the lysosomes independent of Man-6PR [60]. In the acidic lysosomes, Pro-Sap activates pro-CatD, and the active enzyme cleaves pro-Sap to generate saposins A-D [61]. The pro-CatD:Pro-Sap complex is also secreted and is detected in the body fluids (i.e. milk, serum, seminal fluid, and conditioned media of cultured cells) [62-64]. Contrary to their common intercellular and secretory pathways, their endocytic re-entry into the cell is mediated by different receptors and is cell-specific, as cancer cells don’t endocytose Pro-Sap.

Pro-Sap’s functional diversity is highlighted in its ability to sustain the stemness feature(s) of human embryonic neural progenitor cells, its neurotrophic and anti-apoptotic functions, and its involvement in the prostate gland development [65-67]. The latter has led to Pro-Sap’s critical function in prostate cancer [68-70], and underscores its utility as a therapeutic agent [70].

Pro-Sap’s function in the mammary gland remains mostly unexplored. However, immortalized mammary epithelial cells and majority of breast cancer cell lines secret significant levels of Pro-Sap, and estrogen stimulates the process [63]. In xenograft experiments using MCF-7 breast cancer cells, exogenous addition of recombinant Pro-Sap induced estrogen receptor alpha expression (via MAPK-signaling pathway), stimulated proliferation of MCF-7 cells, and tumor growth [71]. Analogous to CatD, Pro-Sap is heavily glycosylated, and changes in its glycan structure (as noted in cancer) could significantly affect its targeting, secretion and binding to CatD.

Ceramide

The sphingosine-based lipid ceramide regulates cellular proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. However, its function depends on the subcellular topology of its production and is cell-type specific [72,73]. Ceramide binds CatD, resulting in the autocatalytic protelysis of the pre-pro CatD to the active 48/32 kDa isoforms [74]. Indeed, the deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme acid sphingomyelinase, which is involved in the generation of ceramide, is associated with decreased CatD activity [74]. The ceramide:CatD interaction connects lipid rafts and lysosomal compartments, causes a limited permeabilization of the lysosomal membrane and the release of CatD in the cytosol, a process intrinsic to the generation of the apoptotic cascade. When in the cytosol, CatD cleaves Bid, resulting in the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria and activation of caspase-9 and -3 [27].

Notably, treatment of breast cancer cell lines with chemotherapeutic agents such as Taxol and Reservatol increases cellular levels of ceramide and induces apoptosis. In MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells, ceramide is generated within 6h of exposure to Taxol, apoptosis is detectable 12 h post treatment, and by 24 h the apoptotic index reaches six times that of untreated cells [75,76]. Use of cell-permeable C6-ceramide as a medium supplement also renders the cells sensitive to the drugs [75,76]. However, prolonged drug treatment could also activate the glycosyl ceramide synthase gene expression, a positive feedback loop which is anti-apoptotic and drives cellular resistance to ceramide-generating chemotherapy approaches [77,78].

Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1 (LRP1)

LRP1 is a widely expressed type I integral membrane protein with diverse biological functions. It is composed of an extracellular α-chain in a non-covalent association with the membrane-spanning β-chain [79]. Deletion of the LRP1 gene leads to lethality in mice, revealing a critical, but yet undefined role in development [80]. In pathological conditions such as atherosclerosis, cancer, and nervous system injury, LRP1 recruits inflammatory cells, and regulates their survival [81].
Beaujouin and colleagues have recently identified LRP1 as CatD binding partner. Their findings indicate pro-CatD secreted by MCF-7 cancer cells binds to extracellular domain of the LRP1 β chain present on the surface of fibroblasts and triggers its growth. This binding might play a critical role in tumor growth via a paracrine loop [82]. The receptor is highly expressed by tumor fibroblasts and at low levels by breast cancer cells. However, its expression is induced in hypoxic conditions and could affect cancer growth via an autocrine loop.

**Maspin**

Our laboratory has uncovered partnership between a mammary non-inhibitory serpin (Maspin) and CatD [83]. Our studies indicate that in mammary epithelial cells Maspin is deposited in the extracellular matrix, and functions as a positional cue in directing CatD-mediated ECM degradation [83]. Notably, in breast cancer Maspin is among the very early genes silenced by promoter methylation [84], while CatD is excessively produced and aberrantly secreted. In the absence of Maspin, CatD-mediated matrix degradation proceeds unrestricted, thus facilitating the progression to metastasis. Maspin-CatD interaction is also a limiting factor to CatD’s secretion. This is based on the observation that transfection of breast cancer cell lines (which are often devoid of Maspin and secrete ~50% of their CatD) with Maspin gene results in considerable reduction in CatD secretion (Khalkhali-Ellis, unpublished observation). More importantly, Maspin transfection sensitizes these cells to apoptotic cell death by some chemotherapeutic agents and will be discussed later in the text [37].

**Sortilin**

Sortilin, also known as neurotensin receptor 3 [85], is a multifunctional type I transmembrane glycoprotein with striking structural similarities to Man-6-PR (and other transport proteins such as vacuolar sorting protein Vps10p). A major portion of sortilin resides in the Golgi (co-localized with Man-6PR300), cycles to the endosomal compartment [85,86], delivering Pro-Sap, acid sphingomyelinase, CatD and cysteine proteinase CatH to the lysosome [87,88]. Based on knock out approaches, Sortilin is the sole receptor for lysosomal delivery of CatH, while both Man-6PR and Sortilin are required for the efficient transport of CatD [89]. Interestingly, lysosomal transport of Pro-Sap is also affected, and as Pro-Sap’s traffic to lysosomes is independent of Man-6-PR, the alternative receptor for Pro-Sap’s lysosomal trafficking remains to be identified. The presence of sortilin in human cancer cell lines derived from colon, pancreas, prostate and ovarian carcinoma has been reported [90], but its expression in normal mammary tissue or breast cancer cell lines remains unexplored.

**Cystatin C**

Cystatin C, a small ubiquitously expressed protein found in nearly all body fluids, and is the most potent endogenous extracellular inhibitor of cysteine cathepsins [91]. It blocks cystein cathepsin-mediated invasion, inhibits and antagonizes TGF-β signalling in normal and cancer cells by physically interacting with the TGF-β receptor II, thereby preventing TGF-β binding [92]. Laurent-Matha and colleagues [93] have employed yeast-2-hybrid screening approach and identified cystatin C as a binding partner for secreted pro-CatD. Their studies indicate that pro-CatD secreted by breast cancer cells binds to and degrades cystatin C in the extracellular environment. The reduced level (or absence) of this inhibitor leads to increased proteolytic activity of cysteine cathepsins (specifically Cathepsin B), and indirectly promotes tumor progression and metastasis. Whether cystatin C is involved in mammary gland development and remodeling is not known. However, it is quite likely that it’s functional inhibition of TGF-β signalling, and cystein cathepsins degradative effect would be crucial in mammary gland development and remodeling. Collectively, Man-6-PR, sortilin and LRP1 bind CatD extracellularly, and are involved in its outside→in transport, while Man-6-PR, Pro-Sap and ceramide bind CatD intercellularly. Maspin, Pro-Sap and cystatin C also function in the extracellular environment, but are not involved in the outside→in transport of CatD. The majority of these binding partners have overlapping functions, and the hierarchy of their binding precedence is presently undefined.

**CatD and Its Binding Partners in Drug Sensitivity**

The prediction of patient response to drug therapy is the ultimate goal of pharmacogenomics research. This is specifically important in cancer treatment, and instrumental in selecting effective chemotherapeutic agent tailored for individual patients. Unfortunately to date, the administration of ineffective chemotherapeutic agents often diminishes the quality of life for many cancer patients. Expression profile analyses have identified genes (specifically CatD) associated with sensitivity to anticancer drugs, however [94,95], the very complex nature of the drug response in a multi-organ system compared to single cells renders the clinical application of such findings quite challenging. The critical role of CatD in apoptosis [24-27], highlights a possible function for its binding partners in these processes. Our laboratory has exploited CatD partnership with Maspin to investigate such a possibility. IFN-γ is a widely used chemotherapeutic agent in many types of cancer; however, the majority of breast cancer cell lines are refractory to this cytokine [96, Z-Khalkhali-Ellis, unpublished observation]. This non-conformity was determined to be (at least in part) due to silencing of Maspin and deregulated expression and secretion of CatD [37]. Notably, IFN-γ reduces proliferation, changes vacuolar pH, alters CatD processing, and disrupts cell polarity, ultimately resulting in cell death. While, breast cancer cell lines devoid of Maspin are refractory to this cytokine. Maspin transfection of these cell lines reduces their pro-CatD secretion, and renders them responsive to IFN-γ(Figure 3).

Exciting as these findings might be, detailed mechanistic analyses are required to determine the crosstalk between CatD and its binding partners (in response to specific drug) in a cell-specific context under physiological conditions. The complex overlapping interactions of distinct binding partners with CatD requires further molecular dissection and could prove to be quite illuminating. We propose that this selection process is cell- (or tissue-) specific, and dictated by the precise function assigned for CatD in that particular environment. In addition, the topological presence of the binding partner(s) and avidity of their binding related to the particular form of CatD could significantly affect the selection process and are presently unidentified. These binding partners could be involved in directing signals which regulate the generation of CatD’s proteolytic fragments and post translational modifications.
In conclusion, CatD traverses boundaries in terms of form, function and location. The range and complexity of biological processes reliant on this enzyme, and the diversity of its degradomes are testament to its fundamental role in mammary gland development and tissue homeostasis. As such, its deregulated syntheses, processing, targeting and activity (noted specifically in breast cancer) are the key to transition from a normal tissue microenvironment to one which favors tumor growth and progression to metastasis. In brief, deciphering CatD as a "physiological guardian angel" could direct us to what has unleashed the "pathological demon".
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